IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND SIX

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE BILAL NAZKI

and
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT PETITION No.2142 of 2006

Between:

Lingam Parasuram Prasad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1.The Executive Authority,

Ramanaihpeta Gram Panchayat,

Ramanaihpeta, Kakinada Rural Mandal,

East Godavari District, and another.

.....RESPONDENTS

ORDER: ***(Per Hon'ble Sri Justice Bilal Nazki,)***

Counter is not filed. Nobody appears for the respondents although on the last occasion we had made it clear that no further adjournment shall be granted for filing counter. Therefore, this Court has no option but to decide the matter on the basis of the material available on record.

Petitioner is mainly aggrieved of the action of the first respondent in not entertaining his revision against the enhancement of tax. Petitioner presented the revision petition before the first respondent, who refused to take it on record. Then, he sent the revision petition by post with acknowledgment due, but it was returned as if it was not claimed.

In this view of the matter, we dispose of this Writ Petition permitting the petitioner to file fresh revision petition before the first respondent within a period of one week from today. If such revision is presented before the first respondent, he shall decide it within a period of three weeks thereafter. For a period of four weeks from today, no coercive measures be taken for recovery of the tax from the petitioner. No costs.

The Secretary to the Government, Panchayat Raj Department, be informed that their Counsel neither appeared in this case nor filed a counter.

(Bilal Nazki, J)

10th April, 2006

(G.Chandraiah, J)

LUR